Approved For Release 2006/12/15 : CIA-RDP70-002118500900190004-7

- The Information

FILED

RETURN TO

RECORDS SERVICES DIVISION
GENERAL SERVICES DEFENDEN 1953

MEMORATURE NOR: Acting Deputy Director (Administration)

a af or

Statement of Monococcurrence in Staff Study Prepared by Organisation and Mothels Service dated 15 October 1953. Subject: Microfile Program of the Office of Collection and Dissemination.

Au ; 13 Novemb	you directed in a meeting held in year office on Friday,	25X1
Mr. Peel	of Organization and Notheds	25X1
Corvings		25X1
	of the General Services Office). I have	25X1
	the following statement of the reasons for our noncon- in the staff study of 15 October 1953 proposed by Organization	
	ods Service, Subject: Microfilm Progres of the Office of un and Pissemination.	
•	(Fro jost No. 0 D-137-52), we have has several discussions with FOD in an effort to resolve differences of opinion with respect to the implementation of their proposed microfilm project.	
	In each occasion we were advised that they were not ready	
	to discuss it, since the action that they were intending	
	to take was contingent upon the results of research nork	
	them in procress for the purpose of developing equipment which would overcome some of the difficulties in the	
	implementation of their proposal. It was understood and agreed by representatives of RCC. and sycolf	25X1
	that action would not be taken to implement the project	20111
	until the proposal had been reviewed at a joint meeting.	
	Mentile, however, unbeknish to us, they have procured	
	over \$20,000 worth of equipment is Piscal Year 1953. Se	
	core not aware that they were going to proceed with the	
	implementation of their proposal until in Aurust 1957,	
	were we received a request for alterations in diverside	
	Stadium, cottented to cont \$7,500. Then the question was	
•	relead with you at that time by you directed	25X1
	Organization and Nethods Service to study the problem.	
	a to difference and the same of the control of the same of the sam	
2.	The staff study prepared by Cognelection and Matheis Corvice	
We #	was prosecold 0 on Friday, 16 October 1953, for	25X1
1	Colored 1953.	25X1
	ortabilished a meeting with	25X1
	com at the re-reduction plant in	25X1
:	the man water a color memory and come to the transfer of the memory water	L 0 23 L
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
	SAC1010	

MORI/CDF

certain questions were reised with respect to facts contained in the study, and it was agreed by the COS analysts that they would attempt to read the three questions. It was understood that more further investigation the COS analysts would explant to report on their finding, which could have had the offect of revising the study in substantial assure.

25X1

- I on Northy, I have been 19%, I was called to a scatter in the office of the Chief, Com Service (Mr. Peel), to discuss the study and chapter which were to be used. It was agreed that certain of the recommendations would be revised. In mother meeting on Tassiey, 10 Normaber 19%, which I attended in Mr. Peel's office, a paper was presented to me which purported to revise the study and its recommendations. After some discussion, I suggested that the overall problem he discussed among representatives of CAM, (AT), and CAS, but I was advised that this was not possible, as Mr. Amory was ready to take the action shick we had concerning the proposal; and the meeting held in your office on Fridey, 13 Bevealor 1963, was the result.
- b. The reasons for our scacemperrence are as follows:
 - a. The scope of the original project proposed by (41), as represented by the subject staff study, has been exceeded without discussion.
 - b. The General Pervices Office feels that there are alternative methods of solving the problem of CCD with the possibility of lower cost and greater efficiency, which at least deserve discussion and consideration.
 - c. The study addresses itself to the question of where and how the microfilming about be done but not to the more important question of about it be done. We question the advisability of microfilming to the extent and for the purposes, as proposed.
 - d. This office has not been given the operanity to read on the same questions with CCT that had been raised on several occasions many scaths prior to the undertaking of the study by CAV. The scope of the problem is much greater than represented by the study made by CAM. For that remove, I would like to re-state the problem as we see it:
 - (1) OT is presently unable to produce, upon the request of analysis, approximately box of intelligence decuments

001076118

0001044

" --- ity information

which have been collected by Cli and processed through C.D. (See paragraph 2(b), Page 2, of staff study.)

- (2) Many decuments are received by (37) in single copy or in instequate quantity for initial disconfination.
- (3) After initial dissemination of deciments, OTO is unable to determine specific location of deciments , which have been disseminated to research activities, and to locate such deciments requires exceptive time and effort.
- (b) A more rapid mothod of recreduction is necessary than is presently available through the use of existing evaluable equipment or repreduction precesses.
- 5. The Seneral Services Office is concerned with the overall proposal in the performance of the functions with respect to (a) records management, (b) with materials, and (c) printing and repredention.
- 6. The solutions as proposed by GOT (See Appendix C of staff study) to the problem outlined in paragraph he above, and our consents on each are as follows:
 - a. Of Proposal Microfile all incoming intelligence documents.

Comment: The Project Review Committee in Project So.

UT-177-52 dated 19 December 1951 approved the Paicrofilming of all dignificant incoming intelligence documents. Some affort should be made to develop a means of identifying "eignificant" decements in order to avoid the microfilming of many decements which do not fall in this enterpry for reference or vital materials perpasse, and therefore, may not even have to be retained by the agency. The use of the word "significant" implies that microfilming by KD should be done on a highly selective basis. This proposal does not intend that this would be done.

b. Oth Present - Microfile Cld-produced intelligence.

Comment: The study fails to state any reason for the microfliming of Cla-produced into ligence. It is assumed that the only possible reasons key be to provide for (1) with exterials deposite, and (2) requests for dominants after initial distribution.

001076113

0001545

่ว²ดกาลท็อก

With respect to vital asterials, original focusents rather than storofilm are decirable in order that on D-Der chactic conditions will not arise due to the immbility to reproduce copies in adequate volume to satisfy desards of from two to three hundred analysts. Such a cusher of analysts could not possibly refer to the atcrofilm at the same time and therefore confusion and chaos would obviously be the result.

Supplemental distribution of DTA-produced intelligence is available through the facilities of the Records Reseasement and Distribution Branch, Records Services Division, Veneral Services Office; therefore, reproduction for this purpose could not be the objective.

e. O'T Proposal - Discominate all copies of incoming intelligence documents to research activities.

Companie (XII) by this proposal will forego any effort to control the use of intelligence decreases by research a ac action all eaself like hasted bus selfvites "rotain or destroy" hasin. This approach will mecurate analysts to build their own collection of documents and to establish "prop records" in the indexing of each records for future reference. A distribbing use of 100 facilities could be the result of this action. In our auterstanding, a library should itself control the retention and/or destruction of documents rather than to have such retention. and particularly destruction, determined on an individual bools by research analysts, since their viewpoint cay be limited to their own ophers of interest. It is true that Arms Records Officers could seriet in the records management phenes of this problem, but it appears that control control and stendard procedure is both desirable and pecessary. and this control should be exercised by fith.

d. Proposal - Photograph and develop sterofile prior to dissociation and reproduce photographic prints of documents for which there is an imalequate number of copies for initial dissociantion.

Company: This may delay tim disconination of documents and tay require considerably more personnel and equipment than is provided for in the study in order to eliminate these delays.

o. On Proposal - Deposit in the Vital Materials Repository distributed copies of all incoming and Cla-produced intelligence.

Contact: Microfilming is not desirable for the renoon stated in the consent with respect to be above.



0001546

in Information

f. Of Proposal - Metain microfilmed copies of all decommute in the Off Library in Vilmeert aperture cards for later reproduction as requested by research analysts.

Commands. This is provided in the eyetes primarily, we assumed. For the selection of documents by analysts through the inspection of signefile contained in Filesort sporture cards and for the reproduction of documents requested by the analysts. The review of the original document rather than signefile is always preferable, because signefile does not permit the reproduction of colored maps and charts frequently contained in original documents; and, unfortunately, microfile reproductions are rarely as good as the original in quality, saking the microfile reproduction more difficult to read. This is particularly true when the crisinal may be a corbon copy of is itself a reproduction, such as an oralid or ditto copy.

g. (CD Proposal - Reproduce from microfilm, prints of documents which are received in imadequate number for initial disconination and also for fulfilling requests of research analysts.

Consent: The study does not consider the question of the source of single or inadequate copies in order to determine whether additional copies should be obtained from such sources. Instead, it apparently assumes that this is not possible. In addition, it is pointed out that the originals, which will on the whole be # x 10}" (% sq. inches) in sise. will, when reproduced by the small soldie photostat machine proposed, be reduced to 5" x 63" (62) sq. inches) and will result in approximately a 50% reduction from the size of the type contained on the original decement. Yout originals will be written through the use of a standard typemriter. nore than likely with elite type, intended for easy reading for individuals with normal or corrected vision. A 50% reduction will implie eye strain which will be acceptuated by the fact that the sicrofilmed print will not be nearly on legible se was the original.

7. Since the very beginning of this proposal, dating back to 1951, the basic question which we have attempted to discuss is whether or not the proposed use of the algorithm process and its application is a valid one. Covernment and industry have had many unfortunate experiences in the use of storofilm. This is particularly true where the original has been destroyed with the feeling of security in the possession of a picrofilm copy, only to find later that the image had feded or disappeared completely or was otherwise not readable, frequently doe to the poor condition of the original decement. A government-wide study is now being made of the application and under the content of the application application of the application of

Security Information

- 6. Microfilming has too many limitations and variables to be used indiscriminately. Some of those limitations and variables are as follows:
 - s. The condition of the original must be nearly perfect.
 - b. The pipe of the original or repredection has limitations in order to retain quality.
 - o. Microfilm can be reproduced only in black and white, not in color.
 - d. Microfile images may fade or disappear completely if not carefully processed under controlled resditions by qualified personnel, even through the image appears clear after processing.
 - o. Difficulty of producing microfile prints in volume.
 - f. Difficulty in inducing and cross-referencing filmed reels by subject matter.
 - g. Difficulty in using for reference purposes where frequent reference on a given subject is desired due to the nemerous reals on which information may appear.
 - h. The extension of this procedure and the application on an agency-wide basic could, over a period of years, result in the unavailability of many important intelligence decreases if the experience of other Severmeent and industrial activities can, be used as criteria. The proposal places too such reliance on sicrofilm for active reference use and on the individual analyst to destroy the correct documents.

In short, it may be said that for reference purposes there is no adequate and guaranteed substitute for the original document, particularly where it is to be used as an active reference document, as in the proposal by CCO.

The study foce not deal with the questions that have been raised above, but rather separate that all of those questions have been considered and revolved by CCD. We believe that we would be more than derelict in the perferance of our daties not to advice on those matters, since it is obvious that we appose the noe of microfile as proposed in this inctance. We believe that alternative methods of colving the problems inherent is the CCD activity should be examined. One possibility, is broad general terms, is the establishment and regulations as with failed the retention by research analysts of intelligence examined which is not concerned with correct

1462000

Security Information

projects, and the establishment of such procedures and reference regulations within OCD as would guarantee the availability of original documents without the necessity for repreduction, except in cases where an inadequate quantity was initially received by the agency. It is inconceivable that research scalvets could pensibly be using the quantity of documents which has been accessulated in their offices over the period of the lest zeven years, per that they are likely to be able to use the enterial which they will accomplate in future years. The problem increases with each year of operation. Also, under present practices. Clasemination and remearch procedures denies the availability of intelligence natorial on an agency-wide and Covernment-wide basis through the familities of OCD, as is evident by the present, and is resulting in the agency having to resort to inadequate and expensive mount of guaranteeing the availability of intelligence material.

This statement of our position in consection with this setter is absolutely objective and sincers. Our position has no relation to the establishment of represention facilities by 600 outside of the central of OSO, which I understand has been reported to you. The number of repreduction personnel and the number of pieces of equipment which they propose to use in this process are negligible when considered alone. More important, however, is the question of good management of records; and our point of view is comperadd primarily with that question. I believe that the information postained berein is of sufficient importance to versust reconsideration of the entire project, as it is never too lete to avoid an error. If the implementation of the proposal does involve error. You have arged and insisted on several occasions that we be firm in our position when we have the courage of our consistions supported by good judgment, experience, and sound reasoning. For that reason, and in order to be as helpful as possible, we have not encurred in the attached stady.

Year decision in the above matter is awaited with interest, and, whatever it may be, we will be wholehearted in the implementation thereof.

> Chief. Occurral Services Office

25X1

Attachmenter

Appendix A (Anterenges real A.) Assendin D (COS Circular

以及治疗性自有

OSO/MIM:mew:lw cetchrone ambject file

Approved For Release 2006/12/15: CIA-RDP70-00211R000900190004-7